

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,332		04/22/2004	Shigeharu Sumi	ND-US040342 (18.061-AG)	6793	
29453	7590	10/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ATENT FIRM FOOTLAND, LENARD A HUKUGAWA 3RD FL.					
3-1 WAKA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	IYA-SH	I, HYOGO,	3682	<u> </u>		
JAPAN				DATE MAILED: 10/05/20	DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathcal{H}	٤					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/829,332	SUMI ET AL.			
Office A	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lenard A. Footland	3682			
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS (6) If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ONGER, FROM THE MAILING be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)☐ This action is	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this ap	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in acc	cordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	3					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-1</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the ab	ove claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)						
6)☐ Claim(s)						
	is/are objected to.	u alactica requirement				
o) Claim(s) 1-1	<u>8</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
	ition is objected to by the Exami					
	s) filed on is/are: a) a					
,,,	not request that any objection to the	- · · ·				
<u> </u>	• • • •	-	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152.			
•		Examiner. Note the attached	Tollice Action of form F 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119					
12)□ Acknowledgr	nent is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	Some * c)□ None of:					
	ed copies of the priority docume					
	ed copies of the priority docume					
•	s of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage			
• •	ation from the International Bure ned detailed Office action for a li		raceived			
	ieu detaileu Office action for a il	st of the certified copies not	receiveu.			
Attachment(s)		 .	(DTO 417)			
 Notice of References D Notice of Draftsperso 	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
	e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/829,332

Art Unit: 3682

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I: Claims 1-11, 17-18 drawn to a bearing, classified in Class 384, subclass 100.

Group II: Claims 12-16, drawn to a process of making a bearing and apparatus to do so, classified in Class 29, subclass 898+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make an other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, for example, a process wherein a mechanical press is employed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

IN THE EVENT THE PRODUCT INVENTION IS ELECTED, THE FOLLOWING SPECIES RESTRICTION IS ALSO REQUIRED:

Application/Control Number: 10/829,332

Art Unit: 3682

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Figure(s) 1-3, 5, versus that of Fig(s). 6 versus Fig(s). 7a-b.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, AND A LISTING OF ALL CLAIMS READABLE THEREON (NOT, FOR EXAMPLE, "AT LEAST CLAIMS..."), INCLUDING ANY CLAIMS SUBSEQUENTLY ADDED, AND IF THE AMENDMENT OF ANY CLAIMS RESULTS IN A CHANGE OF THE SPECIES THEY READ UPON, THAT TOO SHOULD BE INDICATED. FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN A HOLDING OF NONRESPONSIVENESS. (NOTE THAT ANY "SCHEMATICALLY" ILLUSTRATED ELECTED SPECIES MAY NOT SCHEMATICALLY REPRESENT PLURAL EMBODIMENT VARYING CLAIMED FEATURES, UNLESS CLARIFIED BY DRAWING CORRECTIONS, TO BE RESPONSIVE.) An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.1

¹ Applicants may wish to consider listing claims readable with care in view of the possible consequences of having to later cancel them.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,332

Art Unit: 3682

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

The elected species is limited to the features set forth in the elected figures, and does not include features not illustrated in those figures, or illustrated in other figures. Accordingly, applicant should review all claims to ensure that all features of the elected species are properly illustrated, as required, in order to avoid a holding that an unillustrated feature does not form part of the elected species.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7103.

Smard A Forthern

Page 5

Lenard A. Footland

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3600

Art Unit 3682

laf

September 30, 2005